

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,986	03/25/2004	Roger L. Schultz	HES 2003-IP-012204U1	7762	
29920 JOHN W. WUS	7590 03/29/2007 STENBERG		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1431			SCHNEIDER, CRAIG M		
DUNCAN, OK 73536			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753		
	·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/29/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/808,986	SCHULTZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Craig M. Schneider	3753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-102 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-102 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-32, 35-59, 62-71, 74-84, and 87-88; drawn to an apparatus and method for creating a pulsating fluid flow, classified in class 137, subclass 835.
 - II. Claims 33-34, 60-61, 72-73, and 85-86; drawn to a method for cleaning using the apparatus, classified in class 137, subclass 15.01.
 - III. Claims 89-102, drawn to a method of manufacture, classified in class 29, subclass 592.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used at the end of a water pick which does not clean a fluid flowline or a well bore.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986

Art Unit: 3753

materially different process such as process of making does not require that the structure of the product made be inserted into a housing on a mandrel, it can be formed on the mandrel itself.

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are directed to different subject matter, the method of manufacture and the method of using an apparatus for cleaning. The method of manufacture does not pertain to the method of cleaning in that the method of cleaning is for a flowline and the method of manufacture does not mention that the end product is used for cleaning.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. If the applicant elects Group I, this application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A – Figure 3

Species B – Figure 4

Species C - Figures 5 and 6

Species D - Figure 9

Species E – Figure 10

Art Unit: 3753

The species are independent or distinct because species A-E are directed to related pulsating fluid flow apparatuses. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the species as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect: (2) the species do not overlap in scope, i.e. are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants, see MPEP § 806.05(j), in the instant case, the species as claimed are not capable of use together and are mutually different in design. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing to show them to be obvious variants.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. If the applicant elects Group III, this application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A – friction holds the insert and housing together

Species B – welding holds the insert and housing together

Species C – cementing holds the insert and housing together

Species D – threading holds the insert and housing together

The species are independent or distinct because species A-D are directed to attachment methods. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the species as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect: (2) the species do not overlap in scope, i.e. are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants, see MPEP § 806.05(j), in the instant case, the species as claimed are not capable of use together and are mutually different in design. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing to show them to be obvious variants.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. If the applicant elects Group III, this application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species in addition to the above species restriction:

Species A – casting the flowpath

Species B – milling the flowpath

The species are independent or distinct because species A and B are directed to attachment methods. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the species as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect: (2) the species do not overlap in scope, i.e. are

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986

Art Unit: 3753

mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants, see MPEP § 806.05(j), in the instant case, the species as claimed are not capable of use together and are mutually different in design. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing to show them to be obvious variants.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention and species (if necessary) to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig M. Schneider whose telephone number is (571) 272-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 -5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986 Page 9

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CMS **Cw** March 27, 2007

ERIC KEASEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700